NUMERICAL RENORMALIZATION GROUP PART 5

ROK ŽΙΤΚΟ

JOŽEF STEFAN INSTITUTE

AND

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

UBERLANDIA SCHOOL OF ADVANCED STUDIES, JANUARY 2019

WHEN THINGS GO WRONG

- Code doesn't start at all. Library problems? Wrong CPU optimization?
- No Mathematica licence available (in network licence environments).
- Typos in input file. Case is important. Spaces are important.
- Errors in model definition file (code is not valid Mathematica language code).
- Convergence? Increase truncation cutoffs, if memory / time allows.
- Suitable Λ? Too small, too large?
- Wrong symtype
- Truncation within clusters (safeguard)
- Floating-point errors (fixeps)
- Inappropriate Tmin (should be some orders of magnitude smaller than the lowest energy scale in the problem)

...CONTINUED

- Model parameters must be in [extra] block, NRG parameters in [param] block
- Model definition is not what you think it is.
- Path problems (files are not where you think they are)
- Operators used in specd missing in ops
- Inappropriate broadening parameter ($N_z vs \alpha$)
- Confusion between T (physical parameter) and Tmin (chain length)
- Too slow? Are parameters sensible? Use parallelization.
- Running out of disk space during calculation? Set workdir.

TROUBLESHOOTING

- Run code manually, without scripts. Call nrginit and nrgrun separately.
- Inspect log files (mmalog, log).
- Increase the verbosity of output (log= setting, see param.cc for documentation).
- Do results make physical sense?
- Check the details (sum rules, compare with different methods, etc.)
- If the results are "interesting", check twice! Then check again!

GOOD TO KNOW

- Interleaved iteration (substeps=true)
- Different unit? Use bandrescale
- •

EXTERNAL MAGNETIC FIELD



KONDO RESONANCE SPLITTING







S. Otte et al., Nature Physics 4, 847 (2008)

EFFECT ON CONDUCTANCE IN QUANTUM DOTS





FIG. 8. (Color online) The Kondo resonance splitting as a function of the external magnetic field. The error bars correspond to the "pessimistic" and "optimistic" error estimates. Model parameters are U = 0.1, $\epsilon_d = -U/2$, and $\Gamma = 0.008$ in units of half-bandwidth of the (flat) conduction band. The broadening parameter is $\alpha = 0.075$. The data labeled as "Moore Wen" are taken from Fig. 2 in Ref. 37.

SUPERCONDUCTING GAP



Nb,T=170mK, Δ =1.5meV

H. Courtois, Grenoble PRB (2005)

scanning tunneling microscope (STM) dl/dV spectra (proportional to the local density of states)

BOGOLIUBOV QUASIPARTICLES

$$H_{BCS} = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_k \Delta \left(c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} + c_{-k\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow} \right)$$
$$u_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_k}{\sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta^2}} \right)$$
$$v_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_k}{\sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta^2}} \right)$$

$$E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta^2}$$

quasiparticle continuum



ground state

Δ

$$H_{\rm BCS} = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_k \Delta \left(c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{-k\downarrow} + c_{-k\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow} \right)$$

$$H_{\text{imp}} = J\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r} = 0)$$

with $\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{kk'} c_k^{\dagger} \left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) c_{k'} = f_0^{\dagger} \left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) f_0$

This is <u>Kondo model</u> with superconducting bath. Difficult non-perturbative many-body problem!

QUANTUM IMPURITY IN A SUPERCONDUCTOR: SINGLET-DOUBLET (Ο-Π) TRANSITION





experiment







JD Pillet, P Joyez, R Žitko, MF Goffman, PRB 88, 045101 (2013)

SCALING OF SUB-GAP EXCITATIONS



see also Luitz, Assaad, Novotný, Karrasch, Meden, PRL 108, 227001 (2012)